## **REMARKS**

The Applicants have now had an opportunity to carefully consider the comments set forth in the Office Action mailed March 19, 2004. The recognition of allowable subject matter in claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 27 and 28 is noted with appreciation. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application, in light of the amendments and remarks submitted herewith, are respectfully requested.

## The Office Action

In the Office Action mailed March 19, 2004:

claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 27 and 28 were found to include allowable subject matter; claims 1, 3-6, 9-11, 13-16, 19-21, 23-26, 29, 30 and 30-37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,408,028 to Depaola ("Depaola") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,940,491 to Anderson et al. ("Anderson");

claims 31 and 32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Depaola in view of Anderson in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,579,379 to D'Amico et al. ("D'Amico"); and

claims 2, 12 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Depaola in view of Anderson and further in view of what the Office Action characterizes as the Applicants admitted prior art.

## The Claims Are Not Obvious

Claims 1, 3-6, 9-11, 13-16, 19-21, 23-26, 29, 30 and 33-37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Depaola in view of Anderson. Claims 31 and 32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Depaola in view of Anderson in further view of D'Amico. Claims 2, 12 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Depaola in view of Anderson and further in view of what the Office Action characterizes as the Applicant's admitted prior art.

However, the Examiner found allowable subject matter in claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 27 and 28. Independent claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 8. Independent claim 11 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 18. Independent claim 21 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 28.

For at least the foregoing reasons, claims 1, 11 and 21, as well as claims 2-10, 12-20 and claims 22-37, which depend respectively therefrom, are unanticipated and are not obvious in view of Depaola, Anderson, D'Amico and any subject presented in

the present application, taken alone or in any combination.

New claim 38 includes the combined subject matter of original claims 1 and 7. New claim 39 includes the subject matter of original claims 11 and 17. New claim 40 includes the subject matter of original claims 21 and 27. The Office Action indicates that such claims would be allowable.

For the foregoing reasons, new **claims 38-40** are not anticipated and are not obvious in view of Depaola, Anderson, D'Amico and any subject matter presented in the present application, taken alone or in any combination.

## **CONCLUSION**

Claims 1-6, 9-16, 19-26 and 29-37 remain in the application. Claims 38-40 have been added. Claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 27 and 28 have been canceled. For at least the foregoing reasons, the application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, an early indication thereof is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

Joseph D. Dreher
Reg. No. 37,123
Thomas Tillander
Reg. No. 47,334
1100 Superior Avenue
7th Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579
(216) 861-5582

Certificate of Mailing
Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8, I certify that this Amendment is being

deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail, addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below. transmitted via facsimile in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 on the date indicated below. deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated below and is addressed to the Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Express Mail Label No.:

EV 341152658 US

Date

Printed Name

Stephanie Bellman